THE JOURNAL FOR LIVE EVENT TECHNOLOGY PROFESSIONALS

APRIL 2021 PROSOUNDWEB.COM



5

т



Exploring a key aspect in enhancing



SAY WHAT? MEASURING THE IMPACT OF MASKS ON SPEECH INTELLIGIBILITY

THOUGHTS ON SYSTEM PROCESSING & CONTROL FOR LIVE/TOURING APPLICATIONS



0

N

А

ON BRAND. ON TARGET.



www.l-acoustics.com

IMPECCABLEPLUG-AND-PLAYSOUNDFORMID-SIZE CONGREGATIONS

A10 delivers outstanding concert performance and reliability for audiences from 50 to 500. A10 can be mounted on a pole for intimate gatherings, or for larger events, can be stacked or flown in vertical and horizontal arrays. A10 provides adjustable coverage with exceptional sound quality in a 2-way 10¹⁰ passive enclosure. A10 is lightweight, weather-resistent, and combines plug-and-play ease with global brand acceptance.

Join our provider network with A10. Welcome to the Best Sound.



🖸 f 🎔 🗅 in

In This Issue









Live Sound International (ISSN 1079-0888) (USPS 011-619), Vol. 30 No. 4, TS published monthly by EH Media, LLC, 20 Speen Street, Suite 403, Framingham, MA 01701 USA (US/Canada/Mexico subscriptions are 560 per year. For all other Countries subscriptions are 5140 per year, airmail. All subscriptions are payable by Visa; Master Card, Amekican Express, or Discover Card only. Send all subscription and payable by Visa; Master Card, Amekican Express, or Discover Card only. Send all subscription and the subscription of the Sound International, 20 Speen Street, Suite 403, Framingham, MA 01701, USA: POSTIMASTER, Send address changes to Live Sound International, PO Box 989, Framingham, MA 01701. Periodical Postage paid at Framingham, MA and additional mailing offices. Reproduction of this magazine in whole or part without written permission of the publisher is prohibited. Live Sound International® is a registered trademark of EH Publishing Inc. All rights reserved. 2021 EH Media, LLC. Check us out on the web at http://www.prosoundweb.com.

APRIL 2021 | VOL. 30, NO. 4

FEATURES

18 LOUDNESS METERING Enhancing drive-in concert and streaming audio mixes. BY WAYNE HAWTHORNE
26 GROWING PALETTE The current overall landscape of sound system optimization tools. BY DAVID WILLIAMS
30 GOING FOR IT Catching up with veteran monitor engineer Kevin Glendinning and his work with JH Audio. BY KEVIN YOUNG

DEPARTMENTS

6 GIG SCHOOL Gearing up to make a triumphant return to the road. **BY BECKY PELL**

8 VIEWPOINTS More about tilt: technical reasons for opting for one approach over another.

BY MICHAEL LAWRENCE & JIM YAKABUSKI

16 REALITY CHECK Experience in working with "hands" from Uber Works: **BY ALYSSA FREIRE**

24 BUSINESS MATTERS The value of setting limits in a variety of ventures. **BY PETER JANIS**

38 WORLD STAGE A roundup of a variety of recent pro audio applications. **BY LIVE SOUND STAFF**

44 IN REVIEW Checking out "Introduction to Show Networking," a new book covering the basics of networking in production. **BY KYLE JENSEN**

EQUIPMENT

4 LOADING DOCK New microphones, processors, firmware, loudspeakers, wireless gear, intercom components and more. **BY LIVE SOUND STAFF**

12 INSIGHT Measuring the impact of masks on speech intelligibility. **BY JOSÉ BRUSI**

34 PARADIGMS Thoughts on system processing and control for live/touring applications.

BY MICHAEL LAWRENCE

36 FIRST LOOK Detailing the new Martin Audio TORUS constant curvature array and DISPLAY 3 optimization software. **BY LIVE SOUND STAFF**

45 REAL WORLD GEAR The latest developments and models in wireless microphone systems. BY LIVE SOUND STAFF

IN EVERY ISSUE

2 FROM THE EDITOR'S DESK 50 NEWS 54 BACK PAGE



From the Editor's Desk



Welcome aboard, Wayne! Our cover story in this issue is provided by Wayne Hawthorne, a music mixer who works a great deal with the broadcast side of the equation, and he shares his considerable experience by presenting ways to enhance drive-in-concert and streaming audio mixes. His discussion is interesting and most certainly timely, given the events of the past year or so.

Speaking of timely, our friend José Brusi contributes the results of a recent project where he measured the impact of face masks on speech intelligibility. As he notes, "though it's immediately obvious that masks deaden sound coming from our mouths, I wanted to objectively evaluate the effect." There's nothing like data to help support - or not - our human observations and intuitions.

Michael Lawrence and Jim Yakabuski are back with another Viewpoints conversation, expanding their views on the topic of PA system "tilt" (spectral response). Specifically, they explore some of the reasons why a front of house engineer might prefer to mix into a "flatter" or "more tilted" system. Meanwhile, David Williams steps up with an overview of the overall landscape of sound system optimization tools.

Feeling a little blue? Then don't miss Becky Pell's essay on gearing up for her return to the road, a.k.a., touring. She brings such a positive perspective and optimistic view, nicely tempered with perspective gained in the downtime. Also be sure to stop by the Back Page, where Tke Zimbel delivers another of his iconic "Road Stories" about life in this business long ago – in this case, mixing a band in an underground (literally) club in the late 1970s.

And as always, there's plenty more. Enjoy the issue.

Keith Clark

Keith Clark Editor In Chief, Live Sound International/ProSoundWeb kclark@livesoundint.com



On The Cover Wayne Hawthorne, owner and operator of Click Track Audio in Ottawa, at work on a live-to-air broadcast music mix. Coverage begins on page 18.



APRII 2021 | VOL. 30. NO. 4

Live Sound International

20 Speen Street, Suite 403, Framingham, MA 01701 800.375.8015 www.livesoundint.com

PUBLISHER Kevin McPherson, kmcpherson@ehpub.com EDITOR-IN-CHIEF Keith Clark, kclark@livesoundint.com SENIOR CONTRIBUTING EDITOR Craig Leerman

cleerman@livesoundint.com

TECHNICAL EDITOR Michael Lawrence

michael@precisionaudioservices.com

TECHNICAL CONSULTANT Pat Brown, pbrown@synaudcon.com **CONTRIBUTING FEATURES EDITOR** Phil Garfinkel

phil.garfinkel@gmail.com

PRODUCTION DESIGNER Samuel Clark, sclark@ehpub.com **CONTRIBUTORS** Alyssa Freire, Peter Janis, Wayne Hawthorne, David Williams, José Brusi, Kyle Jensen, Becky Pell, Kevin Young, Ike Zimbel, Jim Yakabuski

ProSoundWeb.com

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF Keith Clark, kclark@prosoundweb.com **TECHNICAL EDITOR** Michael Lawrence michael@precisionaudioservices.com PRODUCT SPECIALIST Craig Leerman cleerman@prosoundweb.com WEBMASTER Samuel Clark, sclark@ehpub.com

ASSOCIATE PUBLISHER Jeffrey Turner

jturner@livesoundint.com | 415.455.8301 | Fax: 801.640.1731 **ASSOCIATE PUBLISHER ONLINE** Mark Shemet mshemet@prosoundweb.com | 603.748.4067 | Fax: 603.532.5855 **PRODUCTION & CLIENT SERVICES MANAGER** Jason Litchfield jlitchfield@ehpub.com | 508.663.1500 x226

Circulation and Customer Service inquiries should be made to: **Live Sound Customer Service**

EH MEDIA, LLC Phone: 978-671-0449 Fax: 978-671-0460 cs@computerfulfillment.com 20 Speen Street, Suite 403 Framingham, MA 01701

EDITORIAL AND READER SERVICE RELATED EMAIL ADDRESSES Circulation & Subscriptions | circulation@livesoundint.com Loading Dock Submissions | kclark@livesoundint.com World Wide Web Inquiries | webmaster@livesoundint.com Advertising Rate Information | adinfo@tivesoundint.com

REPRINTS: Wright's Media ehpub@wrightsmedia.com | 877.652.5295



SAY WHAT?

Insight

Measuring the impact of masks on speech intelligibility. **by José Brusi**

he advent of the ongoing pandemic has introduced significant changes to our daily lives. One of them is the use of protective face masks which, aside from other inconveniences (in my case, a pesky dry eye), muffle speech, which of course translates to reduced intelligibility both in normal person-to-person conversations as well as for sound reinforcement (not to mention broadcast and recording).

Though it's immediately obvious that masks deaden sound coming from our mouths, I wanted to objectively evaluate the effect. Normally I would use a labtype analyzer to measure the frequency dependent attenuation, but in this case, I decided to test-drive John Mulcahy's REW (Room EQ Wizard), a free (donation-ware) measurement software with sweep capabilities.

DETERMINING THE CRITERIA

To gather the data, and since I did not have access to an artificial head with a "mouth" loudspeaker, and rather than simply placing masks in front of a measurement microphone, I would employ a smallish loudspeaker (sound bar type) with a fullrange driver that would be fed frequency sweeps while covered with different types of masks. This way, I could measure both the impact of various mask materials on the signal as well as the effects of the differing shapes of the masks.

A year ago, masks were hard to come by outside of the medical profession, with people resorting to making their own at home. These days there are plenty of



commercially available masks of differing types and filtering capabilities. I decided to test two common types: a standard domestic-pleated surgical-style model and a "duck-beak" type disposable model.

Specifically, the surgical-style mask has three layers (one hydrophobic poly-

<u>Though it's</u> <u>immediately obvious</u> <u>that masks deaden</u> <u>sound coming from</u> <u>our mouths, I wanted</u> <u>to objectively evaluate</u> <u>the effect</u>

propylene on the outside and another on the inside as well as polyester layer on the inside), as specified by our local Spanish Covid-19 standard and also complying with the T/GDBX 025-2020 standard from the Guangdong province of China. It's stated to offer 99 percent filtration as tested by a consumer organization. The duck-beak type, classified as offering FFP2-level protection, have five layers – one hydrophobic on the outside fol-

lowed by two polypropylene, then cot-

ton, and finally, a hypoallergenic layer on the inside. It is stated to provide a minimum of 94 percent filtration complying to European Union standard EN149, very similar to a U.S. N95 mask and other masks of its ilk used around the globe. The audible impact of this mask is pretty extreme compared to the other one.

First, I measured the loudspeaker's unmasked response as the basis of comparison, and then simply ran frequency sweeps through the loudspeaker covered by each type of mask and recorded the data. With the surgical-style model, I measured once with the mask flat against the loudspeaker and then another with it hollowed out to better resemble the shape it adopts when worn. This was followed by a measurement of the duck-beak type.

The relative 1/3rd-octave smoothed frequency responses are shown in **Figure 1** – light blue shows the flat surgical, dark blue depicts the shaped surgical, and black corresponds to duck beak. Although one might expect a gradual high-frequency roll-off, the effect is more like a 4 dB down step for surgical and an 8 dB down step for duck beak. A small amount of acoustical gain (i.e., level over 0 dB) can also be observed at some frequencies).

Further, as one would likely expect, the flat surgical mask shows more HF





Assume nothing.

It takes very little time for the A-Series to reveal its true colors: Coverage options to spare. Extra clever acoustic control. More flexibility. Fewer compromises.

Approach sound reinforcement from a different angle: **dbaudio.com/a-series**



More art. Less noise.

INSIGHT

attenuation as compared to the partially unfolded (hollowed out) one, as there is more material per surface area.

TAKING IT FURTHER

The shape of the attenuation caused by the masks, as shown in the frequency response measurements, can help in determining "quick and dirty" correction via shelving (Baxandall) equalization that's commonly available on pretty much any mixer. While one could use the measurements to determine a larger set of EQ filters to more comprehensively counteract the effect of the masks (and the microphone protection, if used), there are many possible types of masks and fittings, so I concentrated on simpler EQ solutions.

I used REW's equalization functionalities (there's a choice of generic EQ or selection a specific device from the built-in library) to test a mixer-like shelving filter (with a fixed 6 dB/octave slope), as well as a more parametric version with selectable corner frequency and slope (a 12 dB/ octave slope is a better fit for the step shape of the masks' attenuation).

Figure 2 shows the relative frequency response of the duck beak mask (black trace) as well as corrected responses with a parametric shelving filter (12 dB/octave slope and 3.5 kHz corner frequency) and a mixer-style shelving filter (which I checked that matched the one in a basic analog mixer I have in my office, except for a bit of overshoot).

For the former (in dark grey) I used a gain of 8 dB as a compromise solution for HF lift and overall flatness; for the latter (light grey trace), the shallow slope means a bit of a peak develops at around 1.4 kHz, so I eased the gain down to 7 dB. The traces of the two



Figure 1: Relative frequency responses: surgical (light blue), hollowed out surgical (dark blue), and "duck beak" (black).



Figure 2: Relative frequency response for duck beak (black) with parametric shelving EQ correction (dark grey) and mixer-style HF correction (light grey).



Figure 3: Relative frequency response for surgical mask (dark blue) with parametric shelving EQ correction (blue) and mixer-style HF correction (lightest blue).

shelving filters applied are shown in green.

The mixer style shelving EQ does not work as well with the surgical mask. **Figure 3** shows the relative frequency response of this mask (dark blue) as well as corrected responses with a parametric shelving filter (12 dB/octave slope and 4.5 kHz corner frequency) and, again, a mixer-style shelving filter. For the former (in darker blue) I used a 4 dB gain; for the latter (lightest blue trace), I used 3 dB gain so as not to emphasize the 2 kHz plateau. The traces of the two shelving filters used are shown in green. The partially unfolded version of the mask was used for the EQ emulation, because it's closer to real life.

The conclusion is that the attenuation of face masks can be quite severe, but it can also be reasonably corrected using just shelving EQ, ideally parametric with 12 dB/octave slope and selectable corner frequency, or else utilizing a mixer's HF control.

José (Joe) Brusi is an independent electroacoustical consultant and the developer of PAcalculate, a popular free app for iOS and Android that provides pro audio calculations, subwoofer array simulations, utilities and reference information.